Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/941,537	CHEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John Ruggles	1756
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>John Ruggles</u> .	(3)	
(2) Randy Tung.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>24 May 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Coraso		
S. ROSASCO PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500		
John Rigglos		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Appellants' representative Randy Tung to confirm that this application had been ABANDONED after BPAI affirmed the Examiner on 3/8/06. Randy Tung confirmed that no further action had been taken on behalf of Appellants and further requested faxing to 248-540-4035 of the previous 12/21/05 Board docketing notice and the 3/8/06 BPAI decision, both of which were faxed as requested on 5/25/06.